

V. U. Mme Claire Stievenart
Av. A. Huysmans 206, bte 10
1050 Bruxelles-Brussel

ISSN - 0250 - 5010

ANNALEN
VAN
DE BELGISCHE VERENIGING
VOOR
STRALINGSBESCHERMING

VOL. 36, N° 1, 2011

2^{ème} trim. 2011

Driemaandelijkse periodiek
1050 Brussel 5

Périodique trimestriel
1050 Bruxelles 5

ANNALES
DE
L'ASSOCIATION BELGE
DE
RADIOPROTECTION

Hoofdredacteur

Mr C. Steinkuhler
Rue de la Station 39
B- 1325 Longueville

Rédacteur en chef

Redactiesecretariaat

Mme Cl. Stiévenart
Av. Armand Huysmans 206, bte 10
B- 1050 Bruxelles - Brussel

Secrétaire de Rédaction

Publikatie van teksten in de Annalen gebeurt onder volledige verantwoordelijkheid van de auteurs.

Nadruk, zelfs gedeeltelijk uit deze teksten, mag enkel met schriftelijke toestemming van de auteurs en van de Redactie.

Les textes publiés dans les Annales le sont sous l'entière responsabilité des auteurs.

Toute reproduction, même partielle, ne se fera qu'avec l'autorisation écrite des auteurs et de la Rédaction.

Ce numéro contient entre autres, les textes d'exposés présentés lors de réunions organisées par l'Association belge de Radioprotection

Radioprotection et sécurité en radiothérapie

Bruxelles, 30 avril 2010

Highlights of the UNSCEAR 2008 report

Bruxelles, 15 avril 2011

Dit nummer bevat ondermeer, de teksten van de uiteenzettingen ter gelegenheid van de vergaderingen van de Belgische Vereniging voor Stralingsbescherming

**Stralingsbescherming en veiligheid
in de radiotherapie**

Brussel, 30 april 2010.

Highlights of the UNSCEAR 2008 report

Brussel, 15 april 2011

SOMMAIRE

INHOUD

Conférence internationale sur la radiothérapie : Défis et progrès dans le domaine de la radioprotection des patients

P. SMEESTERS

p. 1

Long-term effects after radiation therapy

M. van EIJKEREN

p. 7

Health effects due to radiation from the Chernobyl accident

P. SMEESTERS

p. 15

Na de ramp het gekletter

K. DENECKERE

p. 45

Instructions for authors

p. 49

**CONFERENCE INTERNATIONALE SUR LA
RADIOTHERAPIE : DEFIS ET PROGRES DANS
LE DOMAINE DE LA RADIOPROTECTION
DES PATIENTS (*Versailles, 2-4 décembre 2009*)
PRINCIPALES CONCLUSIONS**

Dr Patrick Smeesters

Federal Agency for Nuclear Control, Department Regulation,
International Affairs and Development, Brussels, Belgium

Avril 2010

La Conférence internationale sur les défis et progrès dans le domaine de la radioprotection des patients en radiothérapie (1), qui s'est tenue à Versailles du 2 au 4 décembre 2009, était organisée par l'Autorité de Sûreté Nucléaire (ASN) française, avec l'appui de l'Organisation Mondiale de la Santé, de l'Agence Internationale de l'Energie Atomique et de la Commission Européenne. Toutes les parties prenantes françaises étaient impliquées, y compris des associations de patients, ce qui s'explique aisément vu le contexte créé par les nombreux incidents qui se sont produits dans les services de radiothérapie en France et dont les medias avaient fait largement écho. Le succès a été au rendez-vous avec 320 participants issus de 34 pays différents.

La palette des sujets traités était large et comprenait notamment les risques et bénéfices liés aux nouvelles techniques de radiothérapie ainsi que les défis posés par l'existence de radiosensibilités individuelles et par l'induction de risques iatrogènes à long terme (cancers secondaires et maladies cardio-vasculaires radioinduites). D'autres sessions étaient consacrées aux leçons tirées des accidents survenus, à la déclaration des incidents et accidents, à la sécurité des équipements et des procédures (contrôles, assurance qualité, audits, ...), aux questions de ressources humaines, au traitement médical des complications et, last but not least, à la communication avec les patients.

Les principales conclusions qui se sont dégagées dans ces différents domaines seront brièvement synthétisées dans les lignes qui suivent.

Nouvelles technologies

Le problème majeur qui se pose ici est la vitesse à laquelle les progrès technologiques apparaissent et aboutissent à la commercialisation de nouveaux équipements. Médecins et experts en radiophysique médicale peuvent difficilement suivre et « digérer » ces nouvelles données. En termes de radioprotection, il s'agit de redonner au processus de justification sa place *anticipative* en radiothérapie. Autrement dit, les nouvelles techniques devraient être évaluées de façon *indépendante* quant à leur sûreté, leurs risques, leur valeur ajoutée en termes de survie et de qualité de vie, et ce *avant* leur généralisation. Les modalités de cette évaluation devraient être définies au niveau international et une guidance et une formation appropriées devraient obligatoirement précéder tout usage clinique. Une attention spécifique devrait être accordée aux questions de software, vu les potentialités importantes d'accidents suite à des erreurs à ce niveau.

Risques pour le patient

Un certain nombre de traitements de cancers par radiothérapie sont entachés d'effets secondaires et de complications qui peuvent être sérieuses. Des divergences d'appréciation entre radiothérapeutes sont apparues en ce qui concerne la fréquence de ces complications, une estimation fréquemment entendue étant d'environ 5 %. Ces discussions illustrent la nécessité d'un système robuste, de préférence international, d'enregistrement et d'analyse des effets secondaires et complications. Parmi ces complications, une des plus fréquentes est la radiodermite. Il ressort des exposés entendus que le traitement des radiodermes par les cellules souches mésenchymateuses pourrait constituer un espoir sérieux pour l'avenir.

L'induction, suite à la radiothérapie, de cancers secondaires (c'est-à-dire provoqués par l'exposition thérapeutique aux rayonnements ionisants et non par des récives ou métastases du cancer initial) est un problème qui est devenu, paradoxalement, de plus en plus préoccupant car les traitements sont plus efficaces et le temps de survie des patients s'allonge. L'enjeu est ici de diminuer autant que possible l'exposition des tissus sains. Mais les modalités de cette exposition des tissus sains varient selon les techniques et il n'est pas facile de faire la part entre l'efficacité d'une nouvelle technique en matière de guérison des cancers et ses risques à long terme en matière de cancers secondaires iatrogènes (cfr IMRT). Des recherches complémentaires sont nécessaires.

Un risque particulier de la radiothérapie défraie la chronique ces dernières années. Il s'agit des maladies circulatoires radioinduites, dont il semble de plus en plus avéré qu'elles peuvent apparaître pour des doses nettement inférieures aux seuils traditionnellement admis. Lors du séminaire RIHSS (Research implications on Health safety standards), organisé par la Commission Européenne en 2008 (2), de nouvelles données ont été présentées, provenant tant des survivants des explosions atomiques à Hiroshima et Nagasaki que des travailleurs de l'usine d'armement nucléaire soviétique à Mayak, dont il ressort que, « although a lot of confounding factors have to be taken into account, epidemiological evidence is accumulating in favour of an increased risk of circulatory disease for doses higher than 0.5 Gy, including after protracted exposures ». Même si des inconnues subsistent quant aux mécanismes impliqués et à la nature exacte des cellules cibles, il est d'ores et déjà indispensable de définir des stratégies radiothérapeutiques adéquates pour diminuer l'exposition du cœur. De telles stratégies sont déjà en application pour les traitements du cancer du sein.

Tests de radiosensibilité

Une session a été consacrée à la question de la mise au point et de l'utilisation de tests de radiosensibilité fiables et efficaces, dans l'espoir de prévenir chez ces patients des effets secondaires et complications de la radiothérapie. Bien que certains tests, présentés lors de la conférence, commencent à être utilisés, beaucoup considèrent que leur généralisation est prématurée et qu'il y a lieu d'attendre que des progrès soient réalisés dans ce domaine. Par contre une conclusion claire de la conférence a été la nécessité de finaliser *rapidement* les recherches en cours à ce sujet. D'après les chercheurs présents, ceci pourrait déjà être le cas dans un horizon rapproché.

Accidents: leçons et remèdes

Les leçons des accidents survenus font actuellement l'objet d'un consensus international et les remèdes à apporter ont déjà connu un bon début de mise en place ou de renforcement, tant en France qu'en Belgique.

Ainsi, en plus des éléments déjà soulignés plus haut concernant l'évaluation et l'introduction des nouvelles technologies et la prévention des complications médicales, des systèmes de déclaration des événements

(incidents et accidents) doivent être développés dans un but d'analyse et de retour d'expérience. La culture de sûreté dans les centres de radiothérapie doit continuer à progresser, via la mise en œuvre de systèmes appropriés d'assurance de la qualité et d'analyse des risques et l'organisation d'audits cliniques. Une attention particulière doit être portée aux ressources humaines (personnel suffisant et bien formé, responsabilités non ambiguës) et des procédures bien documentées doivent être en place.

Communication avec les patients

Un moment interpellant et émouvant de la conférence a été la table ronde finale caractérisée par la présence de patients victimes d'accident en radiothérapie, entourés de radiothérapeutes et de divers interlocuteurs des services de santé. L'animateur de cette table ronde, filmée et projetée sur grands écrans latéraux (avec gros plans sur les visages des intervenants ce qui les rendait plus proches encore), a été remarquable de sobriété et d'humanité. Un des messages clairs qui ressortait était que les patients veulent être considérés « comme des adultes », être informés honnêtement des risques (à l'avance : informed consent) et des complications éventuelles (lorsqu'elles surviennent), et être des *acteurs* véritablement impliqués dans l'évaluation de la qualité, des risques et de la sécurité des traitements qui leur sont proposés.

Références

1. Conférence internationale sur la radiothérapie, revue Contrôle nr 185, ASN, Paris, décembre 2009
<http://www.asn.fr/index.php/S-informer/Publications/La-revue-Contrôle/Dossiers-de-Contrôle-2009>
2. EC, *Emerging evidence for radiation induced circulatory diseases*, "Proceedings of the EU Scientific Seminar 2008, Radiation Protection 158, Luxembourg, 2009
http://ec.europa.eu/energy/nuclear/radiation_protection/doc/publication/158.pdf

Email

patrick.smeesters@fanc.fgov.be

LONG - TERM EFFECTS AFTER RADIATION THERAPY

Marc van Eijkeren

Dpt. of Radiation Oncology, Ghent University Hospital, Gent, Belgium.

April 2010

Abstract.

Radiation therapy has an important role in the treatment of malignant diseases. The emergence of better treatment techniques results in better tumour control and longer life expectancy. The cancer survivors become more subject to long-term effects of cancer treatments. This paper describes 2 of these treatment related adverse effects: induction of secondary tumours and cardiovascular events.

Introduction.

Radiation therapy is one of the main treatment modalities for cancer. To have a cytotoxic effect on cells high radiation doses (Gy) are given with high energy photons (MV) or electrons (MeV). There is increasing interest in particle therapy (protons, carbon ions) but this is at the moment only available in few centres.

These cytotoxic effects are needed for sterilization of tumours. However, since the treatment beams have to pass through other - normal - organs before reaching the tumour, these organs are also subject to radiation damage. This type of damage gives the so-called "side effects" which can occur early (within days or weeks) or late (after months or years). The early effects will disappear after some time while the late effects will increase over time.

To fully appreciate the late effects a long - term follow - up is necessary. Since cancer treatment has become more effective, patients have a longer life - expectancy and more of these long - term side effects become visible.

Radiation side effects.

Due to the high doses that are delivered, one not only sees stochastic effects (induction of cancer), but also deterministic effects.

The early deterministic effects are due to epithelial damage (skin erythema, burns) and will heal in due time.

The late deterministic effects are due to endothelial damage with induction of an inflammatory response and fibroblast activation (atrophy, fibrosis). These effects become more prominent after months to years and can be life - threatening (cardiovascular diseases).

The incidence of these effects are the result of physical factors such as dose, dose rate, dose distribution and the volume irradiated and of biological factors such as age, gender, genetics, tissue or organ irradiated and comorbidity (diabetes, hypertension, ...).

Cancer induction.

There is ample evidence for induction of secondary cancer after radiotherapeutic treatment. For example, one of the older, large studies is an Israeli study concerning the treatment of tinea capitis which included 10842 patients [1]. This is a benign fungal skin infection which affects the scalp of children and is endemic in certain parts of the world. Before antifungal medications were available (later than 1960), this disease was treated with radiation therapy (most often 70 - 100 kV Xrays) to produce a temporary depilation.

The skin dose was around 7 Gy with a dose to the brain of about 1.5 Gy. With a follow-up of more than 30 years there was an increase in skin cancer (RR 5.4, range 3.4 to 9.5), meningioma (RR 9.5, range 3.5 to 25.7) and thyroid cancer (ERR/Gy 20.2, range 11.8 to 32.3). In the meningioma study the absolute risk was about 1 in 100. However, in some families, this risk increased to 4 out of 5 suggesting subpopulations with a genetic susceptibility to radiation induced cancer [2].

In 1982, Kleinerman et al. found an increase in secondary tumours in 7127 women treated for cancer of the cervix, 5997 of which were treated with radiotherapy [3]. The excess incidence (RR = 1.4) was found 15 years or more after radiotherapy and most of the tumours occurred in or near the radiation field (bladder, rectum, ...).

A study by Brenner et al. based on the Surveillance Epidemiology and End Results (SEER) database showed that by 10 years after external beam radiotherapy, the incidence of a treatment induced malignancy is about 1 in 70 [4]. Most induced tumours are found in the higher dose region surrounding the target volume (rectum, bladder and colon).

In women, Darby et al. using the SEER database, estimated a 3-fold increase in radiation induced lung cancer 10 to 20 years after radiotherapy for breast cancer [5].

In order to increase the dose to the tumour and decrease the dose to normal tissues, new radiotherapy techniques are developed. With Intensity Modulated RadioTherapy (IMRT) and Intensity Modulated Arc Therapy (IMAT) there is more leakage and scatter radiation with some contribution of neutrons in the higher energy range. A larger volume of normal organs is exposed to lower radiation doses compared to conventional techniques. The overall conclusion of several studies is that IMRT may almost double the number of induced tumours compared to conventional radiotherapy (3 vs 1.5 %/Sv).

Children will be more susceptible to these effects. It is known that they are more sensitive to radiation induced cancer, there are genetic effects and due to their smaller body, more organs will receive dose due to leakage.

However, one cannot extrapolate the epidemiological data to radiotherapy patients since in these patients there are huge dose inhomogeneities in and between organs with tissues close to the target receiving high inhomogeneous doses and tissues farther away lower, more homogeneous doses.

Cardiovascular diseases.

High doses of radiation can affect large vessels and lead to atherosclerosis and thrombosis.

Radiation therapy for patients with Hodgkin Lymphoma (malignant disease of the lymph nodes) can include a so-called “mantle field”. This is a large field irradiating all the lymph nodes above the diaphragm with exclusion of the lungs (figure 1). In this field is a part of the heart, the aorta and the carotid arteries (these provide blood to the brain). Since most of the patients with Hodgkin Lymphoma are young and since the disease can be cured, long - term complications can occur.

Up to now, only a few studies considered the cardiovascular accidents in these patients. A recent retrospective study by De Bruin et al. looked at cerebrovascular events in 2201 survivors of Hodgkin Lymphoma [6]. They found a two- to threefold increased risk of cerebrovascular accidents in these patients as compared to a normal population. The cumulative incidence of these events increased from 0.6 % at 10 years after treatment to 7 % at 30 years after treatment.



Fig 1. Mantle field used for treating Hodgkin Lymphoma. All lymph node field above the diaphragm are irradiated. The lungs are blocked out. The heart and the large vessels are in the radiation field.

Another study of the previously mentioned tinea capitis patients detected an increased risk of carotid atherosclerosis disease [7]. In the irradiated group up to 40 % had carotid atherosclerosis compared to 16 % in the control group. Nine percent suffered an ischemic stroke compared to 2 % in the control group.

A study of 4122 5-year survivors of childhood cancers showed the impact of cardiac events [8]. Of this group, 3098 patients had been followed for 20 years or more and 1199 patients for more than 30 years. The results showed a high long-term risk of death as a result of cardiac diseases in survivors of childhood cancer. The estimated cumulative cardiovascular mortality was 1.7 % at 35 years after diagnoses compared to the 0.3 % expected from the general population. Especially patients receiving a dose of more than 5 Gy at the heart were at increased risk, as were those who received a cumulative anthracycline dose (= cytotoxic chemotherapy) of more than 360 mg/m².

When treating breast cancer with radiotherapy, the breast tissue is irradiated using 2 tangential fields. On the left side this includes a part of the heart and the main left coronary artery (Left Anterior Descending - LAD). Early radiation fields sometimes also included irradiation of the internal mammary lymph node chain and in this field also the right coronary artery received a radiation dose (figure 2). In the long-term, this leads to thrombosis, occlusion and heart attacks.



Fig 2. Irradiation of left breast cancer. The breast tissue is irradiated with two tangential fields. The LAD is in or at the border of the fields. When the internal mammary chain lymph nodes are irradiated, an anterior field is used. This includes the right coronary artery.

In a meta - analysis by the Early Breast Cancer Trialists' Collaborative Group (EBCTCG) involving 19582 women found that the moderate improvement in breast cancer mortality using radiotherapy was counterbalanced by an adverse effect of mortality due to other causes [9]. These included mainly vascular diseases occurring mostly 10 years or more after treatment.

The already mentioned study of Darby et al. also studied the risk of cardiac disease in women treated for breast cancer [5]. Of 115000 patients who received postoperative radiotherapy, deaths later than 10 years after treatment were recorded. Of the 4130 deaths, 42 % were due to recurrence of cancer and 22 % were due to heart disease. The risk was higher when the left side was treated compared to the right side due to the fact that a larger portion of the heart was included. A 10% higher rate of myocardial infarction after 20 years when treating the left side was also noted in another study [10].

Newer radiation techniques try to avoid these critical structures.

Conclusion.

Due to better cancer treatment techniques, the overall survival of cancer patients has increased. For radiation therapy, it now becomes possible to give higher doses to the tumour and lower doses to the surrounding normal organs. There is an increase in local tumour control and a decrease in acute side effects. However, these lower doses still carry a substantial risk of long-term adverse events especially after the first decade after treatment. Since more and more cancer treatments are combinations of radiotherapy and chemotherapy, synergistic effects can be expected.

On the one hand, refinement of the techniques sparing critical targets is necessary while on the other hand lifelong follow-up is mandatory.

References.

- [1] Ron E, Modan D, Preston D et al. Radiation-induced skin carcinomas of the head and neck. *Radiat Res* 1991; 125: 318 - 325.
- [2] Richter PF, Sadetzki S. Genetic predisposition for the development of radiation-associated meningioma: an epidemiological study. *Lancet Oncol* 2007; 8: 403 - 410.
- [3] Kleinerman RA, Curtis RE, Boice JD Jr et al. Second cancers following radiotherapy for cervical cancer. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 1982; 69: 1027 - 1033.
- [4] Brenner DJ, Curtis RE, Hall EJ et al. Second malignancies in prostate patients after radiotherapy compared with surgery. *Cancer* 2000; 88: 398 - 406.
- [5] Darby SC, McGale P, Taylor CW et al. Long-term mortality from heart disease and lung cancer after radiotherapy for early breast cancer: prospective cohort study of about 300000 women in US SEER cancer registries. *Lancet Oncol* 2005; 6: 557 - 565.
- [6] De Bruin ML, Dorresteijn LDA, van't Veer MB et al. Increased Risk of Stroke and Transient Ischemic Attack in 5-Year Survivors of Hodgkin Lymphoma. *JNCI* 2009; 101: 928 - 937.
- [7] Shai E, Sadetzki S, Zaretsky M et al. Carotid atherosclerotic disease following childhood scalp irradiation. *Atherosclerosis* 2009;204: 556 - 560.
- [8] Tukenova M, Guibout C, Oberlin O et al. Role of Cancer Treatment in Long-Term Overall and Cardiovascular Mortality After Childhood Cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 2010; 28: 1308 - 1315.
- [9] Early Breast Cancer Trialists' Collaborative Group. Favourable and unfavourable effects on long-term survival of radiotherapy for early breast cancer: an overview of the randomised trials. *Lancet* 2000; 355: 1757 - 1770.
- [10] Harris EER, Correa C, Hwang Wei-Ting et al. Late Cardiac Mortality and Morbidity in Early-Stage Breast Cancer Patients After Breast-Conservation Treatment. *J Clin Oncol* 2006; 24:4100-4106.

Email

Marc.VanEijkeren@uzgent.be

HEALTH EFFECTS DUE TO RADIATION FROM THE CHERNOBYL ACCIDENT

Dr Patrick Smeesters

MD, Senior expert in Radiation Protection, FANC

Lecturer (UCL, ULB)

Member of Euratom Art 31 Group of Experts

Chairman of the Research Implications on Health Safety Standards
(RIHSS)Art 31 WG

Member of Belgian delegation to UNSCEAR

BVS/ABR Scientific Meeting

Highlights of the UNSCEAR 2008 report

April 15th 2011

Abstract

At the occasion of the 25th anniversary of the Chernobyl accident, the present article tries to give an up to date state of the art regarding the radiation-induced health effects from this accident, taking particularly into account recent scientific findings and publications. Regarding radiation doses to the exposed population, the major think to underline is their huge variability, largely due to the consumption of wild foods, especially mushrooms, and locally produced foods, by a large part of the local population. This has a major influence on any attempt to predict the long term effects of the accident on the health of (subsets of) the population. To date, thyroid cancer has been the most obvious direct consequence of exposure to fallout in the population in Belarus, northern Ukraine, and the oblasts of the Russian Federation closest to Chernobyl. There is currently no doubt that in this population the risk of developing thyroid cancer was by far the greatest in young children, falling rapidly with increasing age at exposure. If our current risk models are right about the absence of attenuation of the excess relative risk with attained age (or time since exposure) then we have seen up to now only the tip of the iceberg and many thousands of cases of thyroid cancer are still to come among those heavily exposed to radioiodine in childhood. As many of the cancer consequences of exposure to atomic bomb radiation were not observed until decades after the event, other non-thyroid cancers will probably occur in the future in those exposed to fallout. It will be necessary to continue for a long time the follow up of these populations.

As far as non-cancer diseases are concerned, although there are many claims concerning the health of children in the contaminated territories around Chernobyl, the reports from international organizations did not give until now much interest in the multiple publications

by Ukrainian, Russian and Byelorussian researchers on children's morbidity. The translation and evaluation of these multiple publications will be a real challenge in the future if we really want to cover correctly the situation. We also really need further good quality research on morbidity in children living in contaminated territories. The same is true concerning the controversial issues of birth defects and hereditary effects. This is our ethical duty towards this population and, moreover, it may have major influence on our evaluation of the radiotoxicity, particularly for children and infants, of major radioisotopes susceptible to cause chronic internal exposures of the population in any future nuclear accident. In this context, it is important to realize that there are still major uncertainties regarding the assessments of risks induced by internal contaminations. The simple use of the concept of effective dose and its calculation through the dose conversion factors (Sv/Bq) may be misleading for risk assessment. We should be more cautious before claiming that some results are not acceptable, just because they cannot be explained by the conventionally calculated effective dose.

Scope

The accident at Chernobyl occurred 25 years ago, on April 26th 1986.

The UNSCEAR (United Nations Scientific Committee on the Effects of Atomic Radiation) has only very recently published its 2008 Report (UNSCEAR 2011) concerning the "Health effects due to radiation from the Chernobyl accident". This report has been discussed and approved in mid-2008 and the coverage of literature practically finishes at this time.

At the occasion of the 25th anniversary of the Chernobyl accident, the present article tries to give an up to date state of the art regarding the radiation-induced health effects from this accident, taking particularly into account recent scientific findings and publications. Unfortunately it was not possible for the author to take due account of the large number of non translated publications by Ukrainian, Russian and Byelorussian researchers. By the way, it is surprising that the competent international organizations did not give more interest in translating these multiple publications, particularly those on children's morbidity. This will be a real challenge in the future if we really want to cover correctly the situation.

Note that early health effects as acute radiation syndrome are not in the scope of this article.

Radiation doses to the most exposed population groups

The greatest sources of radiation dose from Chernobyl were, at different time periods, intake of short-lived radioactive iodines (particularly ^{131}I), external exposure from radionuclides deposited on the ground (particularly

$^{95}\text{Zr} + ^{95}\text{Nb}$, ^{103}Ru , ^{106}Ru , $^{132}\text{Te} + ^{132}\text{I}$, $^{140}\text{Ba} + ^{140}\text{La}$, ^{141}Ce , ^{144}Ce , ^{134}Cs and ^{137}Cs) and ingestion of radioactive caesium's (particularly ^{134}Cs and ^{137}Cs). In the near field (about 20 km) of the damaged reactor, fuel particles (with long-lived radionuclides such as $^{238-242}\text{Pu}$ and $^{241-243}\text{Am}$) made up the most important part of the deposited material, together with more than 90% of the $^{89-90}\text{Sr}$. Part of the $^{89-90}\text{Sr}$ was in water-soluble and exchangeable form and asked for remedial action to avoid contamination of the Dnjepr system (principal source of freshwater supply in Ukraine) (Berkovski 1996, UNSCEAR 2011).

Three major groups of people were exposed to and, to a variable extent, are still being exposed to radioactive contamination:

1. Workers (liquidators, or emergency and recovery operations workers). Those individuals who were involved in emergency response, containment, clean-up and associated activities at the Chernobyl site and in the contaminated areas are commonly referred to as liquidators. This group consists of approximately 600 000 individuals, of whom about 240 000 worked in 1986 and 1987, when doses were highest, at the reactor site and the surrounding 30 km zone.
2. Inhabitants who were evacuated or relocated from contaminated areas. In the months following the accident about 116 000 people were evacuated from areas surrounding the reactor in Belarus, the Russian Federation and Ukraine. A further 220 000 people were relocated after 1986.
3. Inhabitants of contaminated areas who were not evacuated. About 5 million people continue to live in areas of Belarus, Ukraine and Russia that were contaminated by the accident (by convention, contaminated areas were defined as areas where the average deposition density of ^{137}Cs exceeded 37 kBq/m^2).

The average effective dose received by the recovery operation workers between 1986 and 1990, mainly due to external irradiation, is now estimated at about 120 mSv. The recorded worker doses varied from less than 10 mGy to more than 1,000 mGy, although about 85% of the recorded doses were in the range 20–500 mGy. Uncertainties in individual dose estimates vary from less than 50% to up to a factor of 5 (UNSCEAR 2011). There is not enough information to estimate reliably the average thyroid dose for the recovery operation workers (UNSCEAR 2011, Chumak 2007, Bouville 2006).

The effective dose estimates for individuals in the general population accumulated over the 20 years following the accident (1986–2005) range from a few mSv to some hundred mSv depending on location, age and lifestyle factors, such as diet, or time spent outdoors. These doses are mainly due to external exposure from a mixture of deposited radionuclides, as well as to internal exposure from intake of ^{134}Cs and ^{137}Cs (UNSCEAR 2000 quoted by Cardis 2006; Bouville 2007).

The mean effective dose accumulated up to 2005 among residents in the strict control zones (with ^{137}Cs deposition density of 555 kBq/m² or more) is of the order of 50 mSv, while in less contaminated areas it is of the order of 10 mSv (Cardis 2006, UNSCEAR 2011).

The highest organ-specific dose was to the thyroid gland, primarily from ingestion of milk contaminated with radioactive iodines, particularly ^{131}I . A wide range of thyroid doses was received by the inhabitants of the contaminated areas in the three affected countries. Doses varied with age at the time of the accident, level of ground contamination and rate and source of milk consumption.

A study was published recently regarding reconstruction of thyroid doses following the Chernobyl accident. A population-based case-control study of thyroid cancer has been carried out in contaminated regions of Belarus and Russia among persons who were exposed during childhood and adolescence to fallout from the Chernobyl accident. For each study subject, individual thyroid doses were reconstructed for the following pathways of exposure: (1) intake of ^{131}I via inhalation and ingestion; (2) intake of short-lived radioiodines (^{132}I , ^{133}I , and ^{135}I) and radiotelluriums ($^{131\text{m}}\text{Te}$, ^{132}Te) via inhalation and ingestion; (3) external dose from radionuclides deposited on the ground; and (4) ingestion of ^{134}Cs and ^{137}Cs . A series of intercomparison exercises validated the models used for reconstruction of average doses to populations of specific age groups as well as of individual doses. Median thyroid doses from all factors for study subjects were estimated to be 0.37 and 0.034 Gy in Belarus and Russia, respectively. The highest individual thyroid doses among the subjects were 10.2 Gy in Belarus and 5.3 Gy in Russia. Iodine-131 intake was the main pathway for thyroid exposure. Estimated doses from short-lived radioiodines and radiotelluriums ranged up to 0.53 Gy. Reconstructed individual thyroid doses from external exposure ranged up to 0.1 Gy, while those from internal exposure due to ingested caesium did not exceed 0.05 Gy. The uncertainty of the

reconstructed individual thyroid doses, characterized by the geometric standard deviation, varies from 1.7 to 4.0 with a median of 2.2. (Drozdovitch 2010).

Maybe the major think to underline regarding radiation doses to the exposed population is their huge *variability*, largely due to the consumption of *wild foods*, especially mushrooms, and *locally produced foods*, by a large part of the local population. This has a major influence on any attempt to interpret or predict the effects of the accident on the health of (subsets of) the population.

Radiation induced thyroid cancers

An increase in the incidence of thyroid carcinoma in children was noted in the hospitals caring for the population of the most exposed areas as early as 5 years after the accident in Belarus and slightly later in the Ukraine and the Russian Federation (Cardis 2005). To date, thyroid cancer has been the main direct consequence of exposure to fallout in the population in Belarus, northern Ukraine, and the oblasts of the Russian Federation closest to Chernobyl.

The issue of radiation induced thyroid cancers, the most striking effect of this accident, had been thoroughly discussed on 26 November 1998 during the EC (European Commission) scientific seminar “Thyroid diseases and exposure to ionising radiation: Lessons learned following the Chernobyl accident” (EC 2000) and, very recently (November 2010), updated by Sir Dillwyn Williams, during the EC scientific seminar on “Issues with internal emitters” (Williams 2010). The present review of radiation induced thyroid cancers will be largely based on this last high level updating.

Causal relation

The susceptibility of the thyroid to radiation-induced cancer had been recognized, already before the accident in Chernobyl, in many studies, particularly for external irradiation and for exposure in childhood (Japanese atomic bomb survivors, infants exposed to therapeutic x-rays for several benign diseases,...). Nevertheless, epidemiological studies based on internal exposures, mainly patients exposed to ^{131}I for medical reasons, provided essentially negative information with regard to thyroid cancer induction, which at this time suggested that the risk was (much) lower than

after external irradiation. But most of the available data were based on exposures of adults (EC 2000).

The current views confirm the conclusions drawn during the 1995-1996 international conferences about the consequences of Chernobyl and during the 1998 EC scientific seminar (EC 2000):

- the large rise in the incidence of confirmed cases of thyroid carcinoma in children exposed to fallout from the Chernobyl nuclear accident,
- the correlation of incidence and extent of fallout,
- the rapid drop in incidence to near normal figures in children born more than a few months after the accident,

all of which combine to show a causal relation between exposure and carcinogenesis induction.

Size of the thyroid cancer increase

The last UNSCEAR report mentions that a number of 6848 cases of thyroid cancer were reported between 1991 and 2005 in the three affected republics (the whole of Belarus and Ukraine and the four most affected regions of the Russian Federation) amongst those under age 18 years in 1986. There is no doubt that a substantial fraction of this excess incidence of thyroid cancer can be attributed to exposure to radioiodine due to the Chernobyl accident (UNSCEAR 2011).

There are several uncertainties in establishing the *exact* size of the increase, either as an absolute number or as an increase in incidence. There is first a need to define the area studied. Comparing the incidence in exposed and unexposed areas may also be complicated by ethnic and environmental factors, and by the difficulty in defining unexposed areas, when most of Europe was exposed to low level fallout deposition. Perhaps the biggest problem is the increased ascertainment in areas designated as contaminated, where the general public and health professionals will be aware of the increased risks, and are more likely to undergo careful examination or participate in screening programmes. Observed increases in incidence must also be considered in the light of world-wide increases in the incidence of papillary carcinoma of the thyroid, in part at least due to increasing use of techniques like ultrasound and fine needle aspiration (Williams 2010).

Providing an accurate estimate of the number of thyroid carcinomas attributable to the Chernobyl accident to date is difficult because of the uncertainties involved, and the variables which will be discussed in relation

to estimates of the risk per Gray. These also influence predictions of the future, the numbers expected up to 2065 in Europe have been estimated with large variations, for example as 15,700 (95% UI: 3,400-72,000) (Cardis 2006) or 92,627 (Malko, quoted in Yablokov, 2009). Anyway, although the long-term magnitude of risk cannot yet be quantified, it is expected, from many decades of follow-up of studies of populations exposed to external radiation, that Chernobyl-related thyroid cancers will continue to occur for many more years (Adams 2010). Moreover, if our current risk models are right about the absence of attenuation of the ERR with attained age (or time since exposure) then we have seen up to now only the tip of the iceberg and many thousands of cases of thyroid cancer are still to come among those heavily exposed to radioiodine in childhood (Wakeford 2011).

Radiation signature?

A recent study shows that seven genes allow to completely distinguish post-Chernobyl thyroid papillary carcinomas from sporadic thyroid papillary carcinomas (Port 2007). However, a previous study concluded on the absence of a specific signature in post-Chernobyl thyroid cancers. The authors investigated gene expression in sporadic papillary carcinomas and in post-Chernobyl thyroid cancers by a variety of microarray methods: the results suggest that these gene expressions cannot be distinguished, although both tumours are clearly distinct from autonomous thyroid adenomas. Post-Chernobyl, radiation-induced thyroid cancers and sporadic thyroid papillary carcinomas thus most likely represent the same disease. The authors did not exclude the possibility that further analysis will demonstrate that the post-Chernobyl carcinomas might belong to a more homogeneous restricted subset of carcinomas (Detours 2005). The issue is still in discussion.

Thyroid Carcinoma risk and the modifying factors

A number of epidemiological studies of thyroid cancer following exposure to radioactive iodines from the Chernobyl accident have been reported both in the most contaminated countries and in other European countries. Estimates of the risk/Gy vary greatly, with excess relative risks (ERR) from 2.15 (Zablotska 2010) to more than 50/Gy (Kopecki 2006). The results in general had wide confidence limits. The studies differ in a number of ways,

the area studied, the level of exposure, the time over which the cases were collected, the level of confirmation of the diagnosis and the type of study (ecological or case control) (Williams 2010).

The ERR derived in the case-control and cohort studies –i.e. analytical studies in which information is collected at the individual level - are all similar, though slightly lower than the estimate from studies of external radiation (around 7/Gy), while ecological studies show generally larger ERR (Cardis 2006, Ron 1995, Ron 2007).

Reporting an overall figure for the risk of developing thyroid carcinoma after exposure to radiation conceals the effects of a number of variables. The major one is age at exposure. There is no doubt that young children exposed to external radiation are at a greater risk of developing thyroid cancer than older children, and that adults exposed to external radiation have much lower risk. There is also no doubt that in the population exposed to fallout after Chernobyl the risk of developing thyroid cancer was greatest in young children, falling rapidly with increasing age at exposure. The substantial increase in thyroid cancer incidence seen amongst those exposed as children or adolescents in Belarus, the Russian Federation and Ukraine since the Chernobyl accident shows no signs of diminishing up to 25 years after exposure (Williams 2010, Cardis 2006, Fuzik 2010).

As mentioned by Williams (Williams 2010),

- a pooled analysis of 7 studies of radiation induced thyroid cancer found the risk/Gy 5 fold higher in those under 4 at exposure when compared to those aged 10-14 (Ron 1995);
- a study of thyroid carcinomas as second tumours in children receiving radiotherapy for the first tumour found a ten fold difference in ERR/Gy between those treated under 1 year of age, and those aged 15-20 (Ronckers 2006);
- the Chernobyl data suggest a similar ratio, although possibly with the first 3 years of life being particularly sensitive.

Information on thyroid cancer following *in utero* iodine-131 or external radiation exposure is very limited, including in populations exposed to fallout from the Chernobyl nuclear accident. A recent study suggests that *in utero* exposure to radioiodines may have increased the risk of thyroid carcinoma approximately 20 years after the Chernobyl accident, but the epidemiological evidence to date is not sufficient to accurately quantify a

difference in risk between prenatal and early postnatal exposure (Hatch 2009).

Most studies agree that the risk to those exposed as adults, if present, is likely to be small. This issue has been again evaluated in 2009 among A-bomb survivors who were adults (20 years of older) at the time of the bombings (Richardson 2009). Estimated thyroid doses were positively associated with thyroid cancer incidence, but only among women (ERR/Gy 0.70; 90 % CI: 0.20-1.46). A recent study (Fuzik 2010) suggests that there may be an increased incidence in those exposed as adults at all ages, and also that there may be a longer latent period in those exposed at older ages. These are both important points, but this study seems to have a major problem with ascertainment (Williams 2010).

Another major variable affecting risk is the level of dietary iodide. Administration of stable iodide shortly before or within a few hours after exposure can of course block the uptake of radioactive iodine, but few of those exposed after Chernobyl received stable iodine within those time constraints.

The role of iodine deficiency and of dietary intake was studied by Cardis et al in 2005. The risk for thyroid cancer in areas with severe iodine deficiency was found to be approximately three fold that in areas with normal iodide levels. This study also showed that long term supplementary iodide intake after the accident lowered the risk in both the higher and lower iodide levels (Cardis 2005).

The use of long-term dietary iodide administration in populations exposed to fallout containing radioactive iodine should then be considered, particularly in iodine deficient areas.

These two variables (age at exposure and level of dietary intake) combine when considering how to express the risk of developing thyroid carcinoma after exposure to fallout. The ERR/Gy will be affected by both, and it will be necessary to specify the group referred to, for example children under 3 from an iodine deficient area, rather than imply that a single ERR is generally applicable. (Williams 2010)

Other factors relevant to the risk of developing thyroid carcinoma after radiation exposure include genetic susceptibility. Polymorphic variants of DNA repair genes such as XRCC and ATM have been associated with an increased risk of radiation induced thyroid cancer, both after Chernobyl and after exposure to nuclear tests, but they have also been linked to

sporadic thyroid cancers (Williams 2010, Adjadj 2009, Akulevitch 2009, Bastos 2009).

Clinical aspects

Studies of the consequences of the Chernobyl accident allow studies of the changes with latency, as there have been such a large number of cases of one type of tumour where it can be presumed that the mutation initiating the carcinogenic process occurred within a few days or weeks of April 26th 1986. With increasing latency the papillary carcinomas (PTCs) that form the great majority of the radiation induced cases have become smaller in size, and clinically less aggressive (Williams 2010, Demidchik 2006). Pathology studies have shown that the PTCs are more mature, with solid type tumours predominating in the early cases, and classic type PTCs in the later cases. Molecular findings in the PTCs have also changed with latency, initial studies found that almost all showed a RET-PTC rearrangement, dominantly RET-PTC3. With increasing latency the proportion of cases with RET-PTC rearrangements has fallen, and an increasing proportion of these have been RET-PTC1 (Williams 2010). Molecular studies are still ongoing.

To date, thyroid cancer has been the main direct consequence of exposure to fallout in the population in Belarus, northern Ukraine, and the oblasts of the Russian Federation closest to Chernobyl. There is currently no doubt that in this population the risk of developing thyroid cancer was by far the greatest in young children, falling rapidly with increasing age at exposure. If our current risk models are right about the absence of attenuation of the excess relative risk with attained age (or time since exposure) then we have seen up to now only the tip of the iceberg and many thousands of cases of thyroid cancer are still to come among those heavily exposed to radioiodine in childhood.

Radiation induced thyroid diseases other than thyroid cancers

Some recent studies and reviews have evaluated the incidence of radiation induced thyroid diseases other than thyroid cancers (Hatch 2010, Ron 2010, Agate 2008, Ostroumova 2009).

In a recent review, Ron and Brenner (Ron 2010) tried to evaluate the evidence regarding structural (tumours, nodules), functional (hyper- and hypothyroidism), and autoimmune thyroid diseases. After a wide range of

doses of ionizing radiation, an increased risk of thyroid adenomas and nodules was observed in a variety of populations and settings, with a dose response appearing linear at low and moderate doses. They also concluded that considerably less consistent findings are available regarding functional and autoimmune thyroid diseases. Although the data are limited, acute radiation induced thyroiditis is known to occur after ^{131}I treatment of Grave's disease or external-beam radiotherapy and is considered to be a high-dose phenomenon. On the contrary chronic thyroiditis (chronic autoimmune thyroiditis or Hashimoto thyroiditis) is regarded as a possible long-term consequence of thyroid gland irradiation at low to moderate doses, but the studies regarding this issue (A- bomb study, Nevada nuclear test site, Marshall Islands and Chernobyl) have provided until now conflicting results.

As regards the Chernobyl data, the authors of a recent study (Agate 2008) on children living in contaminated Belarussian settlements (13- 15 years after the Chernobyl accident), reported that the autoimmune reactions initially observed in several studies (6 to 8 years after the accident) are still present but with lower levels of thyroid autoantibodies, while thyroid function remains normal. These data suggest that the elicited autoimmune reaction may have been transient, without triggering long term clinical autoimmune thyroid disease. Longer observation periods are nevertheless needed to exclude later effects (Agate 2008), as an increasing risk of autoimmune thyroiditis with increasing thyroid dose has been reported after a long follow up, as in the most recent analysis of the Nevada cohort (age at exposure less than 7 year, time since exposure 24-35 years) (Lyon, 2006).

Radiation induction of cancers other than thyroid cancers

Leukaemia's

Amongst adults, the most meaningful evidence comes from studies of recovery operations workers.

Recent case-control studies on Chernobyl liquidators from Ukraine (Romanenko 2008) and from Belarus, Russia and the Baltic countries (Kesminiene 2008) found significantly increased ERR, with a linear dose-response in the Ukraine study. These studies used individual bone marrow radiation doses estimated by the recently developed RADRUE dose reconstruction method. Although the pattern of results from these two studies is rather unusual and further investigation is required to properly

understand the finding, these nested case-control studies do suggest a radiation-related risk of leukaemia among the liquidators (Wakeford 2011). Following the Chernobyl nuclear reactor accident in 1986 a study found a notably raised risk (ERR per Gy 78.8; CI 22.1-213) of childhood leukaemia in the heavily contaminated districts of the Ukraine (but not in Belarus or Russia) (Davis et al 2006). As the results could be due to a sampling-derived bias in Ukraine, the authors concluded that this study provides no convincing evidence.

In a later study in the Ukraine (Noshchenko 2010), the risk of leukaemia was still significantly increased among those with radiation exposure doses higher than 10 mGy, but the risk estimate was substantially lower, raising questions about the accuracy of the data used in these studies (Wakeford 2010).

Breast cancer and other solid cancers

Apart from thyroid cancers and leukaemia's, there are currently few other clear evidence of increase in the incidence of cancers in the most affected populations, with the possible exception of breast cancer. A study was conducted in Belarus and Ukraine to describe the spatial and temporal trends in breast cancer incidence. This study demonstrated increases in breast cancer incidence in all areas, reflecting improvements in cancer diagnosis and registration. But a significant 2-fold increase was observed, during the period 1997-2001, in the most contaminated districts (average estimated cumulative dose of 40 mSv or more) compared with the least contaminated districts. The increase was highest among women who were younger at the time of the exposure. The authors concluded that it is unlikely that this excess could be entirely due to the increased diagnostic activity in these areas (Pukkala et al 2006).

Many of the cancer consequences of exposure to atomic bomb radiation were not observed until decades after the event, so that other non-thyroid cancers will probably occur in the future in those exposed to fallout, particularly as there are major uncertainties over the individual doses from the Chernobyl accident. It is certainly wrong to consider that we are "at the end of the story" (sic) and it will be necessary to continue for a long time the follow up of these populations.

Hereditary effects

Several studies were conducted concerning increases in the rate of minisatellite¹ DNA mutations in children born to exposed parents after Chernobyl. Studies in exposed families from rural areas of Ukraine and Belarus are indicative of a statistically significant elevated paternal mutation rate in the exposed families (1.6 –fold increase) (Dubrova 1996, 2002).

A similar effect has been seen in the children and grand-children of men exposed to weapons testing in Semipalatinsk (Dubrova 2002) and among irradiated families from the Techa River population (Dubrova 2006).

However, studies conducted in families of Chernobyl cleanup workers globally failed to show increases in the minisatellite mutation rates (Livshits 2001; Kiuru 2003: slight increase above 200 mSv; Slebos 2004: modest increase, not statistically significant; Furitsu 2005). The only clearly positive study (Weinberg 2001) has been criticised for the methodology used (Jeffreys and Dubrova 2001).

Finally no effect on minisatellite DNA mutations has been found in studies on the survivors of the atomic bombing and on radiotherapy patients (exposures due to *external* radiation).

As the human experimental data have been so far derived from studies with small numbers of families, as the increases of mutation rates were seen in populations from areas contaminated with internal emitters but not in those exposed to external radiation sources and as current data fail to establish reliable dose-effect relationships, further studies are essential to address this issue (Bouffler 2006).

¹ Current genetic risk estimates for radiation are mainly based on measurement of mutation frequencies in the mouse using some marker genes. In recent years analysis of mouse and human DNA sequence has shown that some regions containing repetitive sequence elements, collectively known as tandemly repeated DNA loci (TRDLs), mutate at a much higher frequency (x 1000) than the marker genes, allowing detecting changes in mutation rate in relatively small population samples. Additionally, these mutations seem to occur far to the sites of DNA damage (untargeted effect) and also long after the radiation exposure (delayed effect) and appear to be predominantly *point* mutations. TRDLs are represented by relatively short microsatellites, long expanded simple tandem repeats (ESTRs in mouse) and longer minisatellites (used as markers for germline mutations in humans). Notably minisatellite mutations most probably occurs during meiosis in germ cells (this means during all life in *men* but only in the early stages of in utero life in women, where oocytes are already formed during embryogenesis) (Bouffler 2006).

A parallel phenomenon, tandem repeat (ESTR) instability, is described in mice, where this instability is shown to be *transgenerational* (transmission of genomic instability via the germline to future generations). Consequences of transgenerational genomic instability includes cancer predisposition among the offspring of irradiated parents and other genetic endpoints, but available evidence is currently limited to animal studies (Barber 2006, Bouffler 2006). Noteworthy several studies on organisms exposed to contaminated zones at Chernobyl are showing an increased risk of mutation in the germline (for example barn swallows) (Bouffler 2006). Yet the quality of the barn swallows study has been disputed and these results need therefore careful interpretation (Smith 2008).

The human clinical significance of mini-satellite mutations is still very uncertain, but “associations” are described with various diseases and pathogenic mechanisms and we have currently also no knowledge as to whether minisatellite mutations play any role in determining pregnancy outcomes (Bouffler 2006, Gatchel 2005). Further research is then needed, including in the offspring of persons exposed after Chernobyl, as underlined in the ARCH strategic long term research agenda for the health consequences of the Chernobyl accident (ARCH 2011).

New molecular techniques, that overcome some of the classical limitations, are currently being developed to test germ line mutagenicity in humans (Verhofstad 2008).

Birth defects

Although there have been many claims of an increased incidence of congenital anomalies in children born shortly after the accident (Yablokov 2010, Busby 2009), possible birth effects due to Chernobyl accident are not dealt with in recent UNSCEAR publications (UNSCEAR 2011), the reason being that the majority of the committee considered evidence was totally lacking. This may be partly due to the difficulty to separate Chernobyl-related abnormalities from those due to other causes or from the effects of increased ascertainment. A major observation in this respect was that the prevalence at birth of the malformations recorded in the congenital anomalies registry in Belarus showed a similar positive trend in areas of low and high contamination and was even *lower* in the most contaminated regions (Lazjuk 2003). Although the authors mentioned that the prevalence rates were assessed at the oblast level, a level of aggregation that hides the

heterogeneity of the distribution of contamination inside each region, this being susceptible to be an ecological bias, this study has been considered by many as offering compelling evidence of the absence of Chernobyl-related birth defects.

Yet new data have been provided later calling for more balanced conclusions.

In the framework of the Eurocat² Workshop on Environmental Pollution organized in Budapest on 6-7 March 2007, results of current research on environmental pollution and congenital anomalies in Belarus have been presented. The time trend analysis of the prevalence at birth of congenital anomalies has been extended from the large (oblast) administrative division of Belarus to smaller entities (*district*), allowing taking more precisely into account the real degree of contamination. A clear excess of the congenital anomalies under study was then observed in the highly contaminated districts *during the three first years* after the accident, when registered prevalence rates significantly exceeded as well the pre-accidental values as the rates in low-contaminated areas. The main contributors to this increase were polydactyly, reduction defects of limbs and the group of multiple congenital malformations. The (relatively common) skeletal anomalies are considered to be of multifactorial nature but with a considerable (dominant) mutational component. (Zatsepin 2007, FANC-SCK 2005).

An analysis of monthly prevalence revealed also a Down syndrome cluster (2-3 times higher than expected) in January of 1987 (9 months after irradiation). The time of appearance and the spatial distribution of cluster cases suggest an association with the exposure during the first days/weeks after accident due to substantial release of short-lived radionuclides when exposure dose rates exceeded natural background levels by 1-3 orders of magnitude on considerable part of Republic of Belarus. Contribution of the main risk factor (maternal age) has been excluded. Meiotic disjunction failure resulting in aneuploidy in the offspring of exposed animals is a well known effect of ionizing radiation, the hours around fertilization being especially susceptible. Thus, conception of aneuploid human embryos

² Eurocat (European Surveillance of Congenital Anomalies) is a European network of population-based registries for the epidemiologic surveillance of congenital anomalies. The network started in 1979, covers 29% of European birth population through 43 registries in 20 countries.

during the period of relatively high exposure dose rates could be a possible consequence of irradiation of the vulnerable meiotic stages (Zatsepin 2004). A recent study conducted by a researcher of the University of South Alabama (Wertelecki 2010) was focused on the Ukraine oblast of Rivne, and in particular on the Rivne sub-region of Polissia, where one of the populations most exposed to chronic low-dose radiation from Chernobyl lives in. This study shows that, among 96 438 births in Rivne between 2000 and 2006, the overall rate of neural tube defects (including spina bifida) is among the highest in Europe (22.2 vs 9 per 10 000 live births). The rates of conjoined twins and teratomas also seem to be elevated. In Polissia, the overall rates of neural tube defects are even higher (27.0 vs 18.3, respectively; odds ratio: 1.46 [95% confidence interval: 1.13–1.93]), and the rates of microcephaly and microphthalmia may also be elevated.

The malformation patterns observed suggest early disruptions of blastogenesis, manifesting as alterations of body axes, twinning, duplications, laterality, and midline formation. The limitations of this study include a lack of data regarding levels of low-dose radiation, diet, consumption of micronutrients (possible folate deficiency in particular), degrees of consanguinity and prenatal alcohol exposure. As the author underlines, these factors could also combine their effects.

A subsequent study (Dancause 2010) tried to determine current radiation exposure routes in Rivne-Polissia, through a dietary and activity survey to 344 women in Polissia. Alcohol intake was low and alone does not account for the observed high rates of birth defects. Wild foods, especially mushrooms and berries, and locally produced foods, especially milk related, were major radiation exposure routes. The authors concluded that, twenty four years after the Chernobyl accident, women continue to be chronically exposed to low-dose radiation at levels exceeding current recommendations and this might contribute (especially synergistically with alcohol consumption and micronutrient deficiencies) to higher prevalence of birth defects in areas with high levels of radiation contamination compared to uncontaminated areas.

Although these results are limited and possible confounding factors typical for descriptive epidemiological studies prevent from drawing final conclusions, this sensitive issue needs further consideration for example through high quality analytical studies in exposed populations.

Other Non Cancer Diseases

Children's morbidity

There are many claims concerning the health of children in the contaminated territories around Chernobyl, which seem to suffer from multiple diseases and co-morbidities with repeated manifestations (compilation in Yablokov 2009). Unfortunately the reports from international organizations did not give until now much interest in the multiple publications by Ukrainian, Russian and Byelorussian researchers on children's morbidity. This is partly due to the fact that many of these studies were not available in English but also to the fact that they often did not meet the scientific and editorial criteria generally required in the Western peer reviewed literature. The tone of Yablokov's book also produced an uneasy feeling in readers (Jackson 2011). Anyway, it is really surprising that the competent international organizations did not judge necessary to translate these multiple publications.

More or less recent studies brought again this issue into light, including the debated publications of Bandazhevsky (Bandazhevsky 2001), linking ¹³⁷Caesium body loads with ECG alterations and cardiovascular symptoms in children such as arterial hypertension, and the studies on neurobehavioral and cognitive performances in children of the contaminated areas (for example Loganovsky 2008).

To verify these observations, IRSN conducted series of animal studies. Rats were exposed to ¹³⁷Caesium contamination during several months (generally 3 months, sometimes 9) through drinking water containing 6500 Bq/L. Intake of ¹³⁷Caesium was estimated to be 150 Bq/day/animal (500 Bq/kg of body weight), a figure that is considered by the authors to be comparable with a typical intake in the contaminated territories (based on Handl's evaluation in Ukraine: 100 Bq/day with variations, according to geographical location and diet, from 20 up to 2000 Bq/day as in the case of special dietary habits like excess consumption of mushrooms) (Handl 2003).

Although the animals tested in these studies did not show induced clinical diseases, a number of important biological effects were observed on various systems: impairments in the cardiovascular system such as an increase of CK and CK-MG, markers of possible heart muscle damage; decrease of mean blood pressure and disappearance of its circadian rhythm (Guéguen

2008); in the Central Nervous System: EEG modifications, perturbations of the sleep-wake cycle, regional $^{137}\text{Caesium}$ accumulation in the brain stem (Lestaevel 2006); molecular modifications of pro- and anti-inflammatory cytokines and NO-ergic pathway in the brain, indicators of a neuro-inflammatory response, particularly in the hippocampus (Lestaevel 2008); and in various metabolic systems : alteration of vitamine D metabolism, associated with a dysregulation of mineral homeostasis (Tissandie 2008); alteration of testicular and adrenal steroidogenesis (Grignard 2007). These somewhat scattered results are difficult to interpret and the link between all these modifications is far from being obvious.

It must be underlined that these somewhat unexpected results are obtained after relatively modest intakes of $^{137}\text{Caesium}$ and that a fraction of the population in the contaminated territories has been shown to incorporate ten times more $^{137}\text{Caesium}$ with their food. This justifies further investigation in this field. IRSN is currently performing a clinical research (EPICE) on children in the area of Bryansk, particularly on cardiac rhythm and ECG perturbations. First results would be available in 2013.

On the ground of the fact that there is a currently a lack of analytical studies in which dose and risks on non-cancer diseases in children were estimated on an individual level, a series of longitudinal studies have also been initiated recently in Ukraine in conjunction with the US University of South Carolina and were devoted to children's health, making use of the fact that all children in the studied territory had been obliged to participate in a yearly medical examination.

A first study (Stepanova 2008) investigated, for the years 1993 to 1998, the association between residential soil density of $^{137}\text{Caesium}$ (used as exposure indicator) and blood cell concentrations in 1251 children. The data showed a statistically significant reduction in red and white blood cell counts, platelet counts and haemoglobin with increasing residential soil contamination. Over the six-year observation period, hematologic markers did improve. The authors draw the attention on the fact that similar effects and evolution were reported after the Techa River accident in 1957. (Akleyev 1995)

A second study (Svendsen 2010) investigated, for the same years 1993 to 1998, the association between residential soil density of $^{137}\text{Caesium}$ and spirometry measures in 415 children. They found statistically significant evidence of both airway obstruction and restriction with increasing soil $^{137}\text{Caesium}$. The authors advance as possible explanation a radiation-

induced modulation of the immune system leading to recurrent infections and finally to detrimental functional effects.

Series of other studies are announced. The authors of these studies conclude by saying that the current “optimism of the UN reports may be based on too few studies published in English, conducted too soon after the event to be conclusive”.

Although there are many claims concerning the health of children in the contaminated territories around Chernobyl, the reports from international organizations did not give until now much interest in the multiple publications by Ukrainian, Russian and Byelorussian researchers on children’s morbidity. The translation and evaluation of these multiple publications will be a real challenge in the future if we really want to cover correctly the situation. We also really need further good quality research on morbidity in children living in contaminated territories. This is our ethical duty towards this population and, moreover, it may have major influence on our evaluation of the radiotoxicity, particularly for children and infants, of major radioisotopes susceptible to cause chronic internal exposures of the population in any future nuclear accident.

Morbidity of liquidators, particularly heart diseases

As for children living in contaminated territories, numerous studies have been published concerning non cancer diseases in liquidators, many of them also not published in English, and often being controversial due to a number of biases and confounding factors. As mentioned in the ARCH strategic research agenda, due to the lack of complete high-quality disease registries for non-cancer outcomes, specific studies of appropriate groups of liquidators are needed, in particular regarding cardiovascular and cerebrovascular diseases.

In a study on a Russian cohort of 61,000 Chernobyl emergency workers observed between 1986 and 2000, a statistically significant risk of ischemic heart disease was observed [ERR per Gy = 0.41, 95% CI 0.05 to 0.78]. The mean dose was 109 mGy (Ivanov 2006). There was also a significant risk for cerebrovascular diseases [ERR per Gy = 0.45, 95% CI 0.11 to 0.80].

In a sub-cohort of 29,000 emergency workers who arrived in the Chernobyl zone during the first year after the accident and whose the mean dose was greater than 150 mGy, the ERR per Gy was smaller and non significantly

increased for ischemic heart disease, while it was still significantly increased for cerebrovascular diseases (0.39, CI 0.004 to 0.77).

However, the radiation risks in this large-scale cohort study were not adjusted for recognized risk factors such as excessive weight, hypercholesterolemia, smoking, alcohol consumption, and others.

New data on these issues and the underlying mechanisms would be available at the end of 2011 coming from the EU FP7 CARDIORISK project.

Cataracts

During the EU Scientific Seminar held in Luxembourg on 17 October 2006 about “New Insights in Radiation Risk and Basic Safety Standards”, Norman J. Kleiman, Director of the Eye Radiation and Environmental Research Laboratory in the Columbia University reviewed the new available evidence regarding radiation-induced cataracts (EC 2007). The most recent findings from the Ukrainian American Chernobyl Ocular Study (UACOS) (established in 1996 to monitor the effects of this radiation exposure on the eyes of clean-up workers), support a significant lowering of the supposed cataract “threshold” radiation dose (Worgul 2007). The evidence points to a dose threshold no greater than 700 mGy.

Concerning Chernobyl, a new study had been published. In 2007, Chumak et al. investigated the lens dosimetry in the above-mentioned study of a cohort of exposed clean-up workers (liquidators) at the Chernobyl Nuclear Power Plant and concluded that the current dosimetric methodology provides reasonable estimates of individual γ -ray and β -particle doses to the lens of the eye that are sufficiently accurate to have utility in this kind of epidemiological/clinical study.

No new study regarding Chernobyl effects on cataracts have been published up to date.

Let us remind that an older study (Day 1995) showed a small but statistically significant excess of subclinical posterior subcapsular lens changes in 5-17 y old Ukrainian children residing around Chernobyl.

A recent review performed by a team including HPA experts (Ainsbury 2009), concluded that recent studies indicate that the threshold for cataract development is certainly less than was previously estimated, of the order of 0.5 Gy, or that radiation cataractogenesis may in fact be more accurately described by a linear, no-threshold model.

Follow up and research needs

Many of the cancer and non-cancer consequences of exposure to atomic bomb radiation were not observed until decades after the event, so that other thyroid and non-thyroid effects may occur in the future in those exposed to fallout, particularly as there are major uncertainties over the individual doses from the Chernobyl accident (Williams 2010, Baverstock 2006). It is therefore necessary to continue the follow up of these populations. This follow up should make use of well-designed analytical epidemiological studies with careful individual reconstruction and choice of appropriate controls (Cardis 2007).

In this spirit, and in the framework of the European Commission FP7, a project has been conducted to propose a strategic long term research agenda for the health consequences of the Chernobyl accident (project ARCH: Agenda for Research on Chernobyl Health). The creation of a Chernobyl Health Effects Research Foundation, similar to the well known RERF in Japan, has also been suggested. Let's hope that these initiatives will be supported.

In this context, it is important to realize that there are still *major uncertainties* regarding the assessments of risks induced by internal contaminations. The simple use of the concept of effective dose and its calculation through the dose conversion factors (Sv/Bq) may be misleading for risk assessment (IRSN 2005, CERRIE 2004), as illustrated by Müller's experiments on embryos in the preimplantation stage: due to the highly heterogeneous distribution (specific incorporation within DNA), ³H-thymidine, for example, is between 1,000 and 5,000 times more effective (to produce deleterious effects) than HTO when the *same activity* is applied! These effects are still more pronounced using ³H-arginine (histone precursor). We should be more cautious before claiming that some results are not acceptable, just because they cannot be explained by the conventionally calculated effective dose.

We really need further good quality research on morbidity in children living in contaminated territories. This may have major influence on our evaluation of the radiotoxicity, particularly for children and infants, of major radioisotopes susceptible to cause chronic internal exposures of the population in any future nuclear accident.

References

For clarity, references have been grouped by themes: first the reviews, followed by radiation doses, thyroid cancers, other thyroid diseases, other cancers, hereditary effects, birth defects, children's morbidity, liquidators' morbidity and finally cataracts.

Reviews:

1. Baverstock K, Williams D. The Chernobyl accident 20 years on: an assessment of the health consequences and the international response. *Environ Health Perspect.* 2006 Sep;114(9):1312-7.
2. Cardis E. Current status and epidemiological research needs for achieving a better understanding of the consequences of the Chernobyl accident. *Health Phys.* 2007 Nov; **93(5)**:542-6.
3. International Agency for Research on Cancer. FP 7 Project ARCH - Agenda for Research on Chernobyl Health. Strategic research agenda: the health consequences of the Chernobyl accident. 2011. On web site <http://arch.iarc.fr>
4. Smeesters P, Frühling J, Van Bladel L and Wambersie A. Accidents nucléaires et prophylaxie par l'iode. 1ère partie: Risques liés à l'irradiation de la glande thyroïde. *Louvain Med.*, 1998, **117** : 256-267
5. UNSCEAR (United Nations Scientific Committee on the Effects of Atomic Radiation) 2008 Report, Sources and Effects of Ionizing Radiation, Volume II, Health effects due to radiation from the Chernobyl accident. United Nations, New York, 2011
6. Wakeford R. The silver anniversary of the Chernobyl accident. Where are we now? *J. Radiol. Prot.* 2011; **31**: 1-7
7. WHO. *Health Effects of the Chernobyl Accident and Special Health Care Programmes*; Report of the UN Chernobyl Forum Expert Group "Health" (EGH). Geneva, World Health Organisation, 2005
8. Yablokov A , Nesterenko V and Nesterenko A . Chernobyl. Consequences of the catastrophe for people and the environment. *Ann NY Acad Sci* 2009; 1181: i-xvi, 1-327

Radiation doses to the most exposed population groups:

9. Berkovski V, Voitsekhovitch O, Nasvit O et al. Exposures from Aquatic Pathways. . In: The radiological consequences of the Chernobyl accident A. Karaoglou, G. Desmet, G.N.Kelly and H. Menzel eds., Report EUR 16544, Luxembourg 1996.
10. Bouville A, Chumak V, Inskip P et al. The Chernobyl accident: estimation of radiation doses received by the Baltic and Ukrainian Cleanup workers. *Radiation Res* 2006; **166**: 158-167
11. Bouville A, Likhtarev I, Kovgan L et al. Radiation dosimetry for highly contaminated Belarusian, Russian and Ukrainian populations, and for less contaminated populations in Europe. *Health Physics* 2007; **93 (5)**: 487-501.
12. Chumak V Physical dosimetry of Chernobyl cleanup workers. *Health Phys.* 2007 Nov; **93(5)**:452-61.

13. Drozdovitch V, Khrouch V, Maceika E et al. Reconstruction of radiation doses in a case-control study of thyroid cancer following the Chernobyl accident. *Health Phys.* 2010 Jul; **99(1)**:1-16.

Radiation induced thyroid cancers:

14. Adams MJ, Shore RE, Dozier A et al. Thyroid cancer risk 40+ years after irradiation for an enlarged thymus: an update of the Hempelmann cohort. *Radiat Res.* 2010 Dec; **174(6)**:753-62.
15. Adjadj E, Schlumberger M, de Vathaire F. Germline polymorphisms and susceptibility to differentiated thyroid cancer, *Lancet Oncol* 2009, 19;181-190
16. Akulevich NM, Saenko VA, Rogonouovich TI et al, Polymorphisms of DNA damage response genes in radiation related and sporadic papillary carcinoma. *Endocr related Cancer*, 2009; 16:491-503
17. Bastos HN, Antao MR, Silva SN et al, Associations of polymorphisms of genes of the homologous recombination DNA repair pathway and thyroid cancer risk. *Thyroid* 2009, 19:1067-1075
18. Bespalchuk PI, Demidchik, YE, Demidchik EP et al, in Radiation Health Risk Sciences, ed Nakashima M et al, Springer Tokyo, 2009
19. Cardis E, Kesminiene A, Ivanov V, et al. Risk of thyroid carcinoma after exposure to 131I in childhood. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2005 ; **97**:1-9
20. Demidchik Y E, Demidchik E P, Reiners C et al. Comprehensive clinical assessment of 740 cases of surgically treated thyroid cancer in children of Belarus. *Ann Surg* 2006; **243**: 525-32
21. Detours V, Wattel S, Venet D, et al. Absence of a specific radiation signature in post-Chernobyl thyroid cancers. *British Journal of Cancer.* 2005 ; **92**:1545-1552.
22. Detours V, Delys L, Libert F et al. Genome-wide gene expression profiling suggests distinct radiation susceptibilities in sporadic and post-Chernobyl papillary thyroid cancers. *British Journal of Cancer*; 2007, **97**, 818-825.
23. EC, *Thyroid diseases and exposure to ionising radiation: Lessons learned following the Chernobyl accident.* Proceedings of the EU scientific seminar 1998. Radiation Protection 121, Luxembourg, 2000.
http://ec.europa.eu/energy/nuclear/radiation_protection/publications_en.htm
24. Fuzik M, Prysyzhnyuk A, Shibata Y et al, Thyroid carcinoma incidence: trends with reference to the Chernobyl accident. *Radiat Environ Biophys* 2010, published online 10.11.2010
25. Hatch M, Brenner A, Bogdanova T. A screening study of thyroid cancer and other thyroid diseases among individuals exposed in utero to iodine-131 from Chernobyl fallout. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2009 March **94**:3: 899-906
26. Kopecky KG, Stepanenko V, Rivkind N et al Childhood thyroid cancer, radiation dose from Chernobyl. *Radiat Res*, 2006; **166**: 367-74
27. Ivanov V, Chekin S, Kashcheev V et al. Risk of thyroid cancer among Chernobyl emergency workers of Russia. *Radiat Environ Biophys.* 2008 Nov; **47(4)**:463-7. Epub 2008 Jun 13.

28. Ory C, Ugolin N, Levalois C et al.. Gene expression signature discriminates sporadic from post-radiotherapy-induced thyroid tumors. *Endocrine-Related Cancer* 2011; **18**:193-206.
29. Port M, Boltze C, Wang Y et al. A radiation-induced gene signature distinguishes post-Chernobyl from sporadic papillary thyroid cancers. *Radiat Res* 2007; **168**: 639-649
30. Richardson DB. Exposure to ionizing radiation in adulthood and thyroid cancer incidence. *Epidemiology* 2009, **20 (2)**: 181-187
31. Ron E, Lubin JH, Shore RE, et al.: Thyroid cancer after exposure to external radiation, a pooled analysis of 7 studies. *Radiat Res* 1995;141:259–277.
32. Ron E Thyroid cancer incidence among people living in areas contaminated by radiation from the Chernobyl accident. *Health Phys* 2007; 93:502-11
33. Ronckers CM, Sigurdson AG, Stovall M et al: Thyroid cancer in childhood cancer survivors. *Radiat Res* 2006; 166: 618-28
34. Williams D. Radiation carcinogenesis: Lessons from the Chernobyl accident. *Oncogene*, 2009; **27**: s9-s18
35. Williams D, Thyroid Cancer after the Chernobyl Accident: Lessons learned, an update. In EC, *Issues with internal emitters*. Proceedings of the EU scientific seminar 2010. Radiation Protection ..., Luxembourg (in publication)
36. Zablotska LB, Ron E, Rozhko AV et al. Thyroid cancer risk in Belarus among children and adolescents exposed to radioiodine after the Chernobyl accident. *Br J Cancer*. 2010 Nov 23. [Epub ahead of print]

Radiation induced thyroid diseases other than thyroid cancers:

37. Agate L, Mariotti S, Elisei R et al. Thyroid autoantibodies and thyroid function in subjects exposed to Chernobyl fallout during childhood: evidence for a transient radiation-induced elevation of serum thyroid antibodies without an increase in thyroid autoimmune disease. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2008 Jul; 93(7):2729-36
38. Hatch M, Furukawa K, Brenner A et al. Prevalence of hyperthyroidism after exposure during childhood or adolescence to radioiodines from the Chernobyl nuclear accident: dose-response results from the Ukrainian-American Cohort Study. *Radiat Res* 2010 Dec; 174(6):763-72.
39. Ivanov VK, Chekin SY, Parshin VS et al. Non-cancer thyroid diseases among children in the Kaluga and Bryansk regions of the Russian Federation exposed to radiation following the Chernobyl accident *Health Phys*. 2005 Jan;**88(1)**:16-22.
40. Lyon J, Alder S, Stone M et al. Thyroid disease associated with exposure to the Nevada nuclear weapons test site radiation: a reevaluation based on corrected dosimetry and examination data. *Epidemiology* 2006; **17**: 604-614
41. Ostroumova E, Brenner A, Oliynyk V et al. Subclinical hypothyroidism after radioiodine exposure: Ukrainian-American cohort study of thyroid cancer and other thyroid diseases after the Chernobyl accident (1998-2000). *Environ Health Perspect*. 2009 May; 117(5):745-50.
42. Ron E, Brenner A. Non-malignant thyroid diseases after a wide range of radiation exposures. *Radiat Res*. 2010 Dec; 174(6):877-88.

43. Zablotska L, Bogdanova T, Ron E et al. A cohort study of thyroid cancer and other thyroid diseases after the Chernobyl accident. *Amer J Epidemiol*, 2008; **167**: 305-12

Leukaemia's:

44. Childhood leukaemia in Belarus, Russia, and Ukraine following the Chernobyl power station accident: results from an international collaborative population-based case-control study.

International Consortium for Research on the Health Effects of Radiation Writing Committee and Study Team, Davis S, Day RW, Kopecky KJ, Mahoney MC, McCarthy PL, Michalek AM, Moysich KB, Onstad LE, Stepanenko VF, Voillequé PG, Chegerova T, Falkner K, Kulikov S, Maslova E, Ostapenko V, Rivkind N, Shevchuk V, Tsyb AF. *Int J Epidemiol*. 2006 Apr; **35(2)**:386-96

45. Chumak VV, Romanenko AY, Voillequé PG et al. The Ukrainian-American study of leukemia and related disorders among Chernobyl cleanup workers from Ukraine: II. Estimation of bone marrow doses. *Radiat Res*. 2008 Dec; **170(6)**:698-710.
46. Noshchenko AG, Bondar OY, Drozdova VD. Radiation-induced leukemia among children aged 0-5 years at the time of the Chernobyl accident. *Int J Cancer*. 2010 Jul 15; **127(2)**:412-26.
47. Kesminiene A, Evrard AS, Ivanov VK et al. Risk of hematological malignancies among Chernobyl liquidators. *Radiat Res*. 2008 Dec; **170(6)**:721-35.
48. Romanenko A, Bebeshko V, Hatch M et al. The Ukrainian-American study of leukemia and related disorders among Chernobyl cleanup workers from Ukraine: I. Study methods. *Radiat Res*. 2008 Dec; **170(6)**:691-7.
49. Romanenko AY, Finch SC, Hatch M et al. The Ukrainian-American study of leukemia and related disorders among Chernobyl cleanup workers from Ukraine: III. Radiation risks. *Radiat Res*. 2008 Dec; **170(6)**:711-20.
50. Wakeford R. Epidemiology of childhood leukaemia and ionising radiation. In : EC (European Commission). Childhood leukaemia – Mechanisms and causes. Proceedings of the scientific seminar held in Luxembourg on 3 November 2009. Radiation Protection 163, Luxembourg, 2010

Breast cancer and other solid cancers:

51. Cardis E, Howe G, Ron E et al. Cancer consequences of the Chernobyl accident. *J. Radiol.Prot.* 2006 **26**: 127-140
52. Cardis E, Krewski D, Boniol M et al. Estimates of the cancer burden in Europe from radioactive fallout from the Chernobyl accident. *Int J Cancer* 2006; **119**: 1224-35
53. Ivanov VK, Gorsky AI, Kashcheev VV et al. Latent period in induction of radiogenic solid tumors in the cohort of emergency workers. *Radiat Environ Biophys* 2009 Aug; **48(3)**:247-52
54. Pukkala E, Kesminiene A, Poliakov S et al. Breast cancer in Belarus and Ukraine after the Chernobyl accident. *Int J Cancer*. 2006 Aug 1; **119(3)**: 651-8.

“Hereditary” effects:

55. Aghajanyan A, Suskov I. Transgenerational genomic instability in children of irradiated parents as a result of the Chernobyl Nuclear Accident. *Mutat Res.* 2009 Dec 1;**671(1-2)**:52-7. Epub 2009 Sep 1
56. Barber RC, Dubrova YE. The offspring of irradiated parents, are they stable? *Mutation Research* 2006 **598**: 50-60
57. Bouffler SD, Bridges BA, Cooper DN et al. Assessing radiation-associated mutational risk to the germline: repetitive DNA sequences as mutational targets and biomarkers. *Radiat Res* 2006 **165 (3)**: 249-268
58. Dubrova Y, Nesterov V, Krouchinsky N et al. Human minisatellite mutation rate after the Chernobyl accident. *Nature* 1996, **380**: 683-686.
59. Dubrova Y, Grant G, Chumak A et al. Elevated minisatellite mutation rate in the post-Chernobyl families from Ukraine. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* 2002, **71**: 801-809.
60. Dubrova Y, Bersimbaev R, Djansugurova L et al. Nuclear weapons tests and human germline mutation rate. *Science*, 2002, **295** :1037
61. Dubrova Y, Ploshchanskaya O, Kozionova A et al. Minisatellite germline mutation rate in the Techa River population. *Mutation Res.* 2006. **602**; 74-82. Epub 2006 Sep 7.
62. Furitsu F, Ryo H, Yeliseeva K et al. Microsatellite mutations show no increases in the children of the Chernobyl liquidators. *Mutat. Res.* 2005, **581**: 69-82.
63. Gatchel JR and Zoghbi HY. Diseases of unstable repeat expansion: mechanisms and common principles. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* 2005 **6**: 743-755
64. Jeffreys A and Dubrova Y. Monitoring spontaneous and induced human mutation by RAPD-PCR: a response to Weinberg et al 2001. *Proc. Roy. Soc. B.* 2001, **268**: 2493-2494
65. Kiuru A, Auvinen A, Luokkamaki M et al. Hereditary minisatellite mutations among the offspring of Estonian Chernobyl cleanup workers. . *Radiat. Res.* 2003, **159**: 651-655.
66. Livshits L, Malyarchuk S, Kravchenko S et al. Children of Chernobyl cleanup workers do not show elevated rates of mutations in minisatellite alleles. *Radiat. Res.* 2001, **155**: 74-80.
67. Slebos R, Little R, Umbach D et al. Mini- and microsatellites mutations in children from Chernobyl accident cleanup workers. *Mutat. Res.* 2004, **559**: 143-151.
68. Smith JT. Is Chernobyl radiation really causing negative individual and population level effects on barn swallows? *Biol. Lett.* 2008, **4**: 63-64.
69. Verhofstad N, Joost O, Linscooten J et al. New methods for assessing male germline mutations in human and genetic risks in their offspring. *Mutagenesis*, 2008, **23(4)**, 241-247
70. Weinberg H, Korol A, Kirzhner A et al. Very high mutation rate in offspring of Chernobyl accident liquidators. *Proc. Roy. Soc. B.* 2001, **268**: 1001-1005.

Birth defects:

71. Busby C, Lengfelder E, Pflugbeil S, Schmitz-Feuerhake I. The evidence of radiation effects in embryos and fetuses exposed to Chernobyl fallout and the question of dose response. *Med Confl Surviv*. 2009 Jan-Mar; **25(1)**:20-40.
72. Dancause KN, Yevtushok L, Lapchenko S et al. Chronic radiation exposure in the Rivne-Polissia region of Ukraine: implications for birth defects. *Am J Hum Biol*. 2010 Sep-Oct; **22(5)**:667-74.
73. FANC-SCK. *Ionizing radiation, genetic and embryonic risk: Chernobyl data and new insights*. Scientific seminar organized by the Belgian Federal Agency for Nuclear Control, Brussels, 2006
74. Wertelecki W. Malformations in a Chernobyl-impacted region. *Pediatrics* 2010, **125(4)** e836-43. Epub 2010 Mar 22
75. Zatsepin I, Verger P, Robert-Gnansia E et al. Cluster of Down's syndrome cases registered in January of 1987 in Republic of Belarus as a possible consequence of the Chernobyl accident. *Int. J. Radiat. Med*. 2004; **6(1-4)**:57-71.
76. Zatsepin I. Current Research on Environmental Pollution and Congenital Anomalies in Belarus. In: Methodological Approaches to the Assessment of Risk of Congenital Anomaly Due to Environment Pollution, Environmental Pollution Workshop, Eurocat, Budapest 2007 (Eurocat website)

Children's morbidity

77. Akleyev A, Kossenko M, Silkina L et al. Health effects of radiation incidents in the southern Urals. *Stem cells* 1995; **13 (Suppl 1)**: 58-68.
78. Bandazhevsky Y, Bandazhevskaya G. Incorporated caesium and cardiovascular pathology. *Int J Rad Med* 2001; **3**: 11-12.
79. CERRIE. Report of the Committee Examining Radiation risks of Internal Emitters, London, 2004
80. Gueguen Y, Lestaevél P, Grandcolas L, et al. Chronic contamination of rats with 137-Caesium radionuclide: impact on the cardiovascular system. *Cardiovasc Toxicol* 2008; **8**: 31-40
81. Grignard E, Guéguen Y, Grison S, et al. In vivo effects of chronic contamination with 137 cesium on testicular and adrenal steroidogenesis. *Arch Toxicol* 2008; **82**:583-9.
82. IRSN (Institut de Radioprotection et de Sûreté Nucléaire). *Health consequences of chronic internal contaminations by radionuclides. Comments on the ECRR Report "The health effects of ionizing radiation exposure at low doses for radiation protection purposes" and IRSN recommendations*. Report DRPH / 2005-20, Fontenay-aux-Roses, 2005
83. Jackson D. Book review: Chernobyl: Consequences of the catastrophe for people and the environment. *J. Radiol. Prot.* 2011; **31**: 163-165
84. Lestaevél P, Dhieux B, Tourlonias E, et al. Evaluation of the effect of chronic exposure to 137Cesium on sleep-wake cycle in rats. *Toxicology* 2006; **226**:118-25.
85. Lestaevél P, Grandcolas L, Paquet F et al. Neuro-inflammatory response in rats chronically exposed to 137- Cesium. *NeuroToxicology* 2008; **29** : 343-348

86. Loganovsky K, Loganovskya T, Nechayev S et al. Disrupted development of the dominant hemisphere following prenatal irradiation. *J Neuropsychiatry Clin Neurosci* 2008; **20(3)**; 274-291.
87. W.-U. Müller, C. Streffer, M. Molls, et al. Radiotoxicities of ³H-thymidine and of ³H-arginine compared in mouse embryos in vitro. *Radiat. Res.* 1987; **110**:192-198.
88. Romanenko A, Kakehashi A, Morimura K et al. Urinary bladder carcinogenesis induced by chronic exposure to persistent low-dose ionizing radiation after Chernobyl accident. *Carcinogenesis*, 2009, **30 (11)**:1821–1831
89. Stepanova E, Karmaus W, Naboka M et al. Exposure from the Chernobyl accident has adverse effects on erythrocytes, leukocytes and platelets in children in the Narodichesky region, Ukraine: a 6-year follow-up study. *Environmental Health* 2008; **7**: 21
90. Svendsen E, Kolpakov I, Stepanova Y et al. 137-Cesium exposure and spirometry measures in Ukrainian children affected by the Chernobyl nuclear incident. *Environmental Health Perspectives* 2010; **118 (5)**: 720-725
91. Tissandie E, Gueguen Y, Lobaccaro JM, et al. Vitamin D metabolism impairment in the rat's offspring following maternal exposure to 137cesium. *Arch Toxicol* 2009; **83**:357–62.

Morbidity of liquidators, particularly heart diseases:

92. Ivanov VK, Maksoutov MA, Chekin SY et al. The risk of radiation-induced cerebrovascular disease in Chernobyl emergency workers. *Health Phys.* 2006 Mar; **90(3)**:199-207.
93. Ivanov VK. Late cancer and non cancer risks among Chernobyl emergency workers of Russia. *Health Phys.* 2007 Nov; **93(5)**:470-9
94. Little, M.P., Tawn, E.J., Tzoulaki, I., et al.,. A systematic review of epidemiological associations between low and moderate doses of ionizing radiation and late cardiovascular effects, and their possible mechanisms. *Radiat. Res.* 2008; **169**, 99-109.
95. Little, M.P., Tawn, E.J., Tzoulaki, I., et al.,. Review and meta-analysis of epidemiological associations between low/moderate doses of ionizing radiation and circulatory disease risks, and their possible mechanisms. *Radiat. Environ. Biophys.* 2010; **49**, 139-153.

Cataracts:

1. Ainsbury EA, Bouffler SD, Dörr W et al, Radiation cataractogenesis: a review of recent studies. *Radiat. Res.* **172**, 1-9 (2009).
2. Chumak VV, Worgul BV, Kundiyeve YI, Sergiyenko NM, Vitte PM, Medvedovsky C, Bakhanova EV, Junk AK, Kyrychenko OY, Musijachenko NV, Sholom SV, Shylo SA, Vitte OP, Xu S, Xue X, Shore RE, Dosimetry for a study of low-dose radiation cataracts among Chernobyl clean-up workers. *Radiat. Res.* **167**, 606-614 (2007)
3. Day R, Gorin MB, Eller AW. Prevalence of lens changes in Ukrainian children residing around Chernobyl. *Health Phys*, 1995 ; **68(5)**:632-642
4. EC, *New Insights in Radiation Risk and Basic Safety Standards* , Proceedings of the EU Scientific Seminar 2006, Radiation Protection 145, Luxembourg, 2007.

5. Worgul, B.V., Kundiyeu, Y.I., Sergiyenko, N.M., et al.) Cataracts among Chernobyl clean-up workers: implications regarding permissible eye exposures. *Radiat. Res.* 2007; **167**: 233-243.

Email :

patrick.smeesters@fanc.fgov.be

NA DE RAMP HET GEKLETTER

Karel Deneckere

Licentiaat Geschiedenis (UGent)

Master Communicatiewetenschappen (VUB)

Na de ramp het gekletter

Als misplaatst cynisme voelt het aan, nu ruim anderhalve maand voor de herdenking van de kernramp in Tsjernobyl het noodlot weer toeslaat. Explosies en brand in vier kernreactoren in het Japan Fukushima doen de wereld vrezen voor een meltdown. Net zoals vijftientig jaar geleden brengen de nationale en internationale media een heuse mallemlen op gang. Eens te meer toont dit de onvolkomen integratie aan van de controversiële atoomtechnologie in de samenleving.

Wat de politiek onmogelijk achtte, werd op 26 april 1986 in het Noord-Oekraïense plaatsje Tsjernobyl mogelijk. De ontploffing en smelting van reactor vier van de plaatselijke kerncentrale veroorzaakte een kernramp die vooralsnog zijn gelijke niet heeft gekend. Ondanks de onduidelijke toedracht van de ramp beseften de Sovjetautoriteiten dat het incident niet stil te houden viel. Een radioactieve stofwolk verspreidde zich over de grenzen en in het Westen maten Zweedse wetenschappers verhoogde radioactiviteit. Begin mei deden de Sovjets ondanks de Koude Oorlog een officiële persmededeling - een staaltje gelegenheidsglasnost.

Als gevolg laaide het debat omtrent kernenergie wereldwijd op. De onoverzichtelijkheid van de gebeurtenissen en de technologische facetten bemoeilijkten dit debat. Op navrante wijze maakte deze ramp duidelijk dat de technologie onlosmakelijk verbonden is met fundamentele maatschappelijke keuzes. Wereldwijd haalde Tsjernobyl beleidsmakers uit hun genoegzame roes. Men saneerde het rampgebied en sloot het hermetisch af, evacueerde grote groepen mensen en begon aan het inkapselen van de rampzalige reactor in een betonnen sarcofaag. In landen als België en Frankrijk, die sterk inzetten op kernenergie, verspreidden regeringen geruststellende boodschappen over de risico's van de fall-out, de radioactieve neerslag die zich over West-Europa verspreidde.

Sommige media namen geruststellende overheidsboodschappen gedwee over, andere mobiliseerden de bevolking tegen wat ze een paternalistisch vergoelijken vonden. De *social constructs* van voor- en tegenstanders werden bovengehaald. Social constructs zijn concepten die enkel bestaan in en door de media die hun specifieke betekenissen bepalen. Deze 'labels' zijn allerminst natuurlijk, maar het denken in die termen vereenvoudigt de zaken aanzienlijk en wordt vaak uitgespeeld in de media.*** Geruststelling versus mobilisering dus. De kernramp bood een spectrum aan interpretatiemogelijkheden. De media haalden gelijkenissen en verschillen van Tsjernobyl met België naar hartenlust aan en gebruikten die om hun eigen standpunten kracht bij te zetten. Ook de Westerse houding ten opzicht van het Sovjetregime bepaalde mee de kleuring van de risicoduiding. Redacties beslisten zelf hoe de kernramp als 'verhaal' te framen en keken naar het gebeuren met een ideologische vooringenomenheid die al vòòr de ramp bepaald was.*

Vandaag zien we dit terug bij de ramp in Fukushima. Voorstanders benadrukken positieve kanten van de technologie (energiezekerheid, onafhankelijkheid, kosten) en minimaliseren risico's. Tegenstanders reageren net omgekeerd. Voor hen wegen milieu-en gezondheidsrisico's door en is energieonzekerheid het probleem van een onethisch economisch model. In 1986 lieten voorstanders de Belgische situatie onderbelicht: de Sovjets beschikten immers over een inferieur reactormodel en een andere veiligheidscultuur. Tegenstanders benadrukten dat een ongeval altijd mogelijk is, ook in het Westen. Zeven jaar eerder was dat al aangetoond in Harrisburg, VS. Bovendien pleitten ze voor een nieuwe ontwikkelingsethiek met weinig plaats voor kernenergie.

Hoever staan we anno 2011 met het debat over kernenergie? Het Tsjernobyl-effect in België culmineerde in 2003 met een parlementaire beslissing tot een kernuitstap. Dit betekent dat de huidige centrales na hun veertigjarige levensduur moeten sluiten. Een overmachtsclausule werd echter voorzien waardoor het parlement de wet in de toekomst nog kan aanpassen of zelfs schrappen.*** Intussen is de politiek-economische context veranderd en is de nucleaire sector gaan investeren in publieke communicatie, waardoor de roep om een 'nucleaire renaissance' luider is gaan klinken. Stilaan vervaagde de herinnering aan Tsjernobyl en drongen zich nieuwe prioriteiten op - zoals klimaatneutrale energieproductie.

Vijfentwintig jaar later overschaduwde Fukushima de herdenking van de grootste nucleaire ramp en verkeren we nog steeds in dezelfde impasse. Door de lange termijnimplicaties vergt een oplossing niet enkel een beslissing gebaseerd op generatie-overstijgende waarden, maar ook op grensoverschrijdende financiële afspraken, aangepast aan de geliberaliseerde Europese energiemarkt. Zo evolueert het debat geleidelijk van een patstelling tussen voor-en tegenstanders, naar een kwestie van sociale verdeling en billijkheid.**

-
- (*) Deneckere K., *Onheilstijdingen en dovemansoren. De mediatorol van enkele Vlaamse kranten in de geschiedenis van de nucleaire controverse in België*, master na master thesis in duurzame ontwikkeling en menselijke ecologie, VUB, 2007
- (**) Eggermont G. & Hugé J., *Nuclear Energy Governance*, SEPIA, Brussels, BELSPO, 2011, 102pp (Research programme for Science for a Sustainable Development)
- (***) Laes E., Chayapathi L., Meskens G. & Eggermont G., *Kernenergie (on)besproken. Een geschiedenis van het maatschappelijke debat over kernenergie in België*, Leuven & Voorburg, Acco, 2007

INSTRUCTIONS FOR AUTHORS

First Author, Second Author, ...
Institute, Department, Town, Country

Abstract

Your manuscript must be in French, Dutch or English. After the title (Capitals, bold, 14 pt Times New Roman, and centred) and the authors (normal case, bold, 12 pt Times New Roman, and centred) and their affiliation (normal case, 12pt Times New Roman) it can start with an abstract describing the key elements of your contribution. This paragraph should be typeset in normal case, 10 pt Times New Roman, single line spacing and the lines are not justified in block mode.

Text

Your manuscript should then continue on the same page in 12 pt Times New Roman, single line spacing with a spacing of 0 pt before and after the paragraph mark, and the lines are not justified in block mode. You should use DIN A4-size paper format. Top and bottom as well as right and left margins are 2,5 cm.

Electronic form of contribution

Your paper should be sent in electronic form as a MS Word.doc or .docx file.

Page numbers should not figure on your text (pagination will be introduced automatically).

Tables and figures should be inserted into the text at the appropriate place, and centred. They should be clearly identified with a caption, as shown below.




Fig 1. Caption for figure one (in 10 pt Times New Roman)

xxx	yyy	zzz
aaa	123	456
bbb	789	012

Table 1. Caption for table one (in 10 pt Times New Roman)

Foot text

In case of foot text use the standard foot note.

References and email

Please number your references and cite them in brackets [1]. The list of references should be at the end of your manuscript under the section heading 'References' in 10 pt Times New Roman. At the end of your manuscript you give the email address of all the authors.

References

- [1] J. Smith, Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on Radiation Protection Training: Future Strategies, Madrid, Spain, 17-19 September 2003, p. 99
- [2] Nuclear Energy in a Sustainable Development Perspective, OECD, 2000
<http://www.nea.fr/html/ndd/docs/2000/nddsustdev.pdf>

Email

author@xxs.yy